

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,446	04/20/2006	Ralph Zochert	BINA.P004.US	9797
42389 DORT PATEN	7590 12/18/200 NT P.C	8	EXAM	UNER
Box 26219			SOTELO, JESUS D	
Crystal City St Arlington, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rinigion, vri	. 20010		3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/595,446	ZOCHERT, RALPH				
Examiner	Art Unit				
JESUS D. SOTELO	3617				

	JESUS D. SOTELO	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:38 or 15 cm	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply l Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Example.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters,	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on islare: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objected to by t lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been received received in Applity documents have been received.	cation No eived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)	

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413
· =	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Apelication.
6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are in the application.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a
multiple dependent claim can not depend from other multiple dependent claims. See MPEP

§ 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaynes et al (5,504,342).

Looking to Jaynes et al, claim 1 is anticipated in that it only positively recites "a handrail" [figs. 1-5] for the intended use of a tarpaulin, "having a handlebar" [10,30,30]. Beyond that, the claim merely recites that the handlebar "can be held between two bushes". Although the "bushes" are not positively recited, even if they were, the evidence of Jaynes et al meets the limitation by showing two bushes [18, 18', 36]. And since the "bushes" are not positively recited, the recitation of them "each having a screw thread" is not a positive recitation but merely a statement of intended capability of combination with the bushes [18, 18', 36]. Once again, although not required by the claim, the evidence of Jaynes et al also show "screw threads" [fig 3; 22; also shown in fig. 2 and 5]. It is notoriously well known to one with ordinary skill in the art that one function of screw threads is to secure. As such, a screw can go through multiple layers especially when one layer is merely a cloth. The claim recites that for the non-positively recited

Art Unit: 3617

screw thread they have the capability of being "inserted through the tarpaulin cloth in order to fasten them to the tarpaulin" and "with which the be affixed to the tarpaulin frame". The claim does not require the structure of the tarpaulin (cloth), bushes, screw threads, nor the tarpaulin frame. It also does not recite "how" the screws are to be inserted through the cloth. Also, making note of claim 2, if the "bushes" were positively recited the evidence of Jaynes et al also happens to show "the bush [18, 36] has a fastening arm [fig. 3; 20] on which the screw thread [22] is arranged.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Jaynes does not show a handrail for a tarpaulin. As noted in the Office action, the language in the claims still addresses a functional capability of the structure recited. Clearly, the handrail of Jaynes can be attached to a tarpaulin with screws. The language in the claims has to be more specific to the structure disclosed.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/595,446 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JESUS D. SOTELO whose telephone number is 571-272-6686.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:00 AM -3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JESUS D. SOTELO/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617

18 December 2008